

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,021	03/30/2004	Kazunari Tanaka	1095.1308	2782
2017 7559 65122669 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTION, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			MAHMOOD, REZWANUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/812.021 TANAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit REZWANUL MAHMOOD 2164 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.3 and 5-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2.3 and 5-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2164

#### DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 2, 2009 has been entered. Claims 2, 3, and 5-14 are currently pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feldman (US Patent 6,532,469) in view of .

With respect to claim 9, Feldman discloses a computer implemented method of creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Colum 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), comprising:

analyzing contents of each of the documents and extracting feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman; Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines

Art Unit: 2164

43-48; Column 3 lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

calculating a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

laying out objects indicative of the documents on the relation chart, which has a time axis, based on the time information (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4: Figure 1: Figure 6):

generating association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair in the relation chart having the time axis, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

displaying the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines, at least one of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6);

However, Feldman does not explicitly disclose:

maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs.

The Uramoto reference, however, discloses maintaining a chronological order of

Art Unit: 2164

different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs (Uramoto: Abstract, lines 1-9; Column 1, lines 6-12 and 49-55; Column 5, lines 17-24; Figure 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Feldman with the teachings of Uramoto to maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs in a relation chart for efficiently threading documents that appear in chronological order (Uramoto: Column 1, lines 6-9).

With respect to claim 2, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the association lines are generated, the association lines between predetermined ones of the document pairs are discarded for thinning-out based on the degree of relevancy of the document pair without citation relationship (Feldman: Column 1, lines 57-61; Column 9, lines 4-34; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 3, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the association lines are generated, ones of the association lines between ones of the document pairs having the citation relationship are displayed in a form of display different from a form of display in which the others of the association lines are displayed (Feldman: Column 2, lines 61-67; Column 3, lines 29-32; Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 6).

Art Unit: 2164

With respect to claim 5, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, the objects indicative of the documents are arranged along the time axis in an order based on the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-14; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 6, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, the time axis is represented in basic units each corresponding to a predetermined time period, and the order along the time axis is preserved between objects indicative of the documents belonging to different ones of the time periods (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-14; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 7, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein assuming that patent documents are inputted as the plurality of documents, in extracting the feature elements, dates of application are extracted as the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10. lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-14; Figure 6).

Art Unit: 2164

With respect to claim 8, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein assuming that patent documents are inputted as the plurality of documents, in extracting the feature elements, dates of application and priority dates are extracted as the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-14; Figure 6), and

wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, if a date of application and a priority date have been extracted from a document, the priority date is regarded as the time information of the document (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-14; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 10, Feldman discloses a relation chart-creating apparatus for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Colum 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), comprising:

feature element-extracting means for analyzing contents of each of the documents and extracting feature elements including time information (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3 lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

relevancy-calculating means for calculating a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements

Art Unit: 2164

(Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

layout means for laying out objects indicative of the documents on the relation chart, which has a time axis, based on the time information (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

association line-generating means for generating association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

display means for displaying the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines, at least one of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6);

However, Feldman does not explicitly disclose:

displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs.

The Uramoto reference, however, discloses maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs (Uramoto: Abstract, lines 1-9; Column 1, lines 6-12 and 49-55; Column 5, lines 17-24; Figure 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Feldman with the teachings of Uramoto to maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each

Art Unit: 2164

document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs in a relation chart for efficiently threading documents that appear in chronological order (Uramoto: Column 1, lines 6-9).

With respect to claim 11, Feldman discloses a computer-readable recording medium that records a relation chart-creating program for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents, the program causing a computer to:

analyze contents of each of the documents and extract feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3 lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

calculate a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

lay out objects indicative of the documents on the relation chart, which has a time axis, based on the time information, and generate association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

display the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines, at

Art Unit: 2164

least one of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed (Feldman: Column 4. lines 30-55: Figure 1: Figure 6).

However, Feldman does not explicitly disclose:

displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs.

The Uramoto reference, however, discloses maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs (Uramoto: Abstract, lines 1-9; Column 1, lines 6-12 and 49-55; Column 5, lines 17-24; Figure 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Feldman with the teachings of Uramoto to maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs in a relation chart for efficiently threading documents that appear in chronological order (Uramoto: Column 1, lines 6-9).

With respect to claim 12, Feldman discloses a computer implemented method, comprising:

extracting feature elements including time information from each document to calculate a degree of relevancy between each pair of document (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3 lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

Art Unit: 2164

laying out on a relation chart objects that indicate the documents having relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55); and displaying the relation chart of document pairs (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1: Figure 6).

However, Feldman does not explicitly disclose:

displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs.

The Uramoto reference, however, discloses maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs (Uramoto: Abstract, lines 1-9; Column 1, lines 6-12 and 49-55; Column 5, lines 17-24; Figure 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Feldman with the teachings of Uramoto to maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs in a relation chart for efficiently threading documents that appear in chronological order (Uramoto: Column 1, lines 6-9).

With respect to claim 13, Feldman discloses a computer implemented method, comprising:

calculating a degree of relevancy between document pairs responsive to feature elements having time information extracted from each analyzed document (Feldman:

Art Unit: 2164

Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55); and

displaying a relational chart illustrating documents having relevancy and lines connected between the each document pair according to the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6)

However, Feldman does not explicitly disclose:

maintaining a time relation of the document pairs in chronological order.

The Uramoto reference, however, discloses maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs (Uramoto: Abstract, lines 1-9; Column 1, lines 6-12 and 49-55; Column 5, lines 17-24; Figure 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Feldman with the teachings of Uramoto to maintaining a chronological order of different documents forming each document pair and displaying relationship while maintaining chronological order of the document pairs in a relation chart for efficiently threading documents that appear in chronological order (Uramoto: Column 1, lines 6-9).

With respect to claim 14, Feldman in view of Uramoto discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein the plurality of documents are at least three documents, and the relation chart is composed of at least three objects and the association lines connecting between part or all of the at least three objects (Feldman: Column 10, lines 36-43: Uramoto: Figure 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,021 Page 12

Art Unit: 2164

## Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3 and 5-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2164

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REZWANUL MAHMOOD whose telephone number is (571)272-5625. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 10 A.M. - 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571)272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2164

May 11, 2009

/Charles Rones/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164